

Program A: Federal Programs

Program Authorization: R.S. 15:1201 et seq.; 42 U.S.C. 3796 et seq, as amended (Violence Against Women); 42 U.S.C. 5601 et seq, as amended (Juvenile Justice and Delinquency Prevention); 42 U.S.C. 10603 et seq. as amended (Crime Victim Assistance); P.L. 103-322 (Edward Byrne Memorial); 42 U.S.C. 13701 et seq. (Residential Substance Abuse Treatment); P.L. 105-119 (Title III of H.R. 3 – Juvenile Accountability Incentive Block Grant Act of 1977); OMNIBUS Appropriations Act of 1997 (Local Law Enforcement).

PROGRAM DESCRIPTION

The mission of the Federal Programs Program in the Louisiana Commission on Law Enforcement and Administration of Criminal Justice is to assist in the improvement of the state's criminal justice system and to assist in the provision of services for victims of crime through the administration of Federal Formula Grant Funds and Federal Discretionary Grant Funds. The goal of the Federal Programs Program Louisiana Commission on Law Enforcement and Administration of Criminal Justice is to assist criminal justice and non-profit agencies across the state through allocation, distribution, and administration of Federal Grants Funds, both Formula and Discretionary, for criminal justice purposes.

Current initiatives include: the administration of the Edward Byrne Memorial Formula Grant Program, the Violence Against Women Grant Program, the Crime Victim Assistance Grant Program, the Juvenile Justice and Delinquency Prevention Grant Program, the Local Law Enforcement Block Grant Program, any new Formula Grant Programs designated to LCLE. In addition, LCLE is establishing a statewide reporting network for law enforcement and criminal justice data collection, operating a Statistical Analysis Center, and coordinating the multi-agency effort to create an integrated criminal justice information system for the state.

OBJECTIVES AND PERFORMANCE INDICATORS

Unless otherwise indicated, all objectives are to be accomplished during or by the end of FY 2001-2002. Performance indicators are made up of two parts: name and value. The indicator name describes what is being measured. The indicator value is the numeric value or level achieved within a given measurement period. For budgeting purposes, performance indicator values are shown for the prior fiscal year, the current fiscal year, and alternative funding scenarios (continuation budget level and Executive Budget recommendation level) for the ensuing fiscal year (the fiscal year of the budget document).

1. (KEY) To award and administer federal formula grant funds under the Edward Byrne Memorial Program, the Violence against Women (VAW) Program, the Crime Victim Assistance (CVA) Program, the Juvenile Justice and Delinquency Prevention (JJDP) Program, the Local Law Enforcement Block Grant (LLEBG) Program, and the Juvenile Accountability Incentive Block Grant (JAIBG) Program, all in accordance with their minimum pass-through requirements.

Strategic Link: This objective relates to Strategic Objective 1.1 - 1.7: *To pass through the above grant programs in accordance with their minimum pass-through requirements.*

Louisiana: Vision 2020 Link: This operational objective relates to Vision 2020 Objective 3.3.1: *To have safe homes, schools, and streets throughout the state.*

Children's Cabinet Link: Not applicable

Other Link(s): Not applicable

Explanatory Note: These indicators measure the amount of funds that LCLE intends to award through subgrants during the state fiscal year. However, these subgrants could be coming from several different federal fiscal years of grants. Each program has a specific minimum mandatory passthrough percentage, and LCLE has consistently exceeded those minimums substantially.

L E V E L	PERFORMANCE INDICATOR NAME	PERFORMANCE INDICATOR VALUES					
		YEAREND PERFORMANCE STANDARD	ACTUAL YEAREND PERFORMANCE	ACT 11 PERFORMANCE STANDARD	EXISTING PERFORMANCE STANDARD	AT CONTINUATION BUDGET LEVEL	AT RECOMMENDED BUDGET LEVEL
		FY 1999-2000	FY 1999-2000	FY 2000-2001	FY 2000-2001	FY 2001-2002	FY 2001-2002
K	Minimum percentage of funds passed through to local criminal justice agencies under the Edward Byrne Memorial Program ¹	51.92%	78.16% ²	51.92%	51.92%	75.00%	75.00%
K	Number of Byrne grants awarded	150	140 ³	150	150	145	145
S	Dollar amount of Byrne grants awarded	\$7,000,000	\$6,845,325 ³	\$7,000,000	\$7,000,000	\$7,000,000	\$7,000,000
K	Minimum percentage of funds passed through to criminal justice or nonprofit agencies for VAW programs	75%	94.02% ⁴	75%	75%	80%	80%
K	Number of VAW grants awarded	60	79 ³	60	60	60	60
S	Dollar amount of VAW grants awarded	\$1,500,000	\$2,325,647 ³	\$1,500,000	\$1,500,000	\$1,800,000	\$1,800,000
K	Minimum percentage of funds passed through to each of the four CVA priority areas for underserved victims	40%	95.9% ⁵	40%	40%	84%	94%
K	Number of CVA grants awarded	80	99 ³	100	100	100	100
S	Dollar amount of CVA grants awarded	\$3,000,000	\$4,135,778 ³	\$4,000,000	\$4,000,000	\$4,200,000	\$4,200,000

K	Minimum percentage of funds passed through to local agencies under JJDP Program	66.67%	85.25% ⁶	66.67%	66.67%	83%	83.00%
K	Number of JJDP grants awarded	77	73 ³	70	70	70	70
S	Dollar amount of JJDP grants awarded	\$1,000,000	\$1,244,741 ³	\$1,100,000	\$1,100,000	\$1,100,000	1,100,000
K	Number of LLEBG Program grants awarded	40	121 ³	50	50	80	80
S	Dollar amount of LLEBG Program grants awarded	\$300,000	\$376,958 ³	\$275,000	\$275,000	\$300,000	\$300,000
K	Minimum percentage of JAIBG Program funds passed through to local government	75%	81.9% ⁷	75%	75%	75%	75%
K	Number of JAIBG Program grants awarded	40	41 ³	60	60	60	60
S	Dollar amount of JAIBG Program grants awarded	\$4,135,200	3,365,776 ³	\$3,500,000	\$3,500,000	\$3,800,000	\$3,800,000

¹ Grants for the Edward Byrne Memorial Program are for anti-drug, violent crime, and criminal justice system improvement programs.

² Figures reflect most recently closed federal fiscal year. For the state's FY 1999-2000, this would be for Federal Fiscal Year (FFY) 1996. Federal funds have a three-year life, but are normally extended to four years. Estimated figures for FY 2000-2001 and FY 2001-2002 reflect the federal requirement that a minimum of 51.92% of funds be passed through to local criminal justice system agencies. However, as seen in the FY 1999-2000 actual value, much more is actually passed through to local agencies, with the remainder used for state-level/statewide programs, state agencies, and a small percentage for administration.

³ Figures reflect activity during the state fiscal year.

⁴ The federal pass-through requirement of 75% for the VAW program is broken down as follows: 25% to law enforcement, 25% to prosecution, and 25% to victim services. Figures reflect the most recently closed federal fiscal year. For FY 1999-2000, this would be federal fiscal year 1996. Estimated figures for FY 2000-2001 and FY 2001-2002 reflect the federal requirement that a minimum of 75% be passed through to local law enforcement, prosecution, and victim services. However, as seen in the FY 1999-2000 actual value, much more is actually passed through to these agencies, with the remainder used for state-level/statewide programs and a small percentage for administration.

⁵ Grants for the Crime Victim Assistance (CVA) are awarded in the four priority areas: domestic violence, sexual assault, child abuse, and previously underserved by demographic characteristic and type of crime not in other categories. A 40% minimum pass through is required (10% in each area). These percentages are usually exceeded. Figures reflect the most recently closed federal fiscal year. For FY 1999-2000, this would be FFY 1996. Federal funds have a life of several years.

⁶ Figures reflect the most recently closed federal fiscal year. For the state's FY 1999-2000, this would be FFY 1996. The remainder of the funds goes to the following: (1) administrative funds, (2) required amount of defined by act to support federally required advisory board and (3) statewide, state or agency programs.

⁷ The first federal fiscal year of funding has not closed. Percentage is based on activity through June 30, 2000.

GENERAL PERFORMANCE INFORMATION: SELECTED GRANT ACTIVITIES FOR FEDERAL PROGRAMS

PERFORMANCE INDICATOR	PRIOR YEAR ACTUAL FY 1995-96	PRIOR YEAR ACTUAL FY 1996-97	PRIOR YEAR ACTUAL FY 1997-98	PRIOR YEAR ACTUAL FY 1998-99	PRIOR YEAR ACTUAL FY 1999-00
Edward Byrne Memorial Block Grant Program: ^{1,2}					
Number of Task Forces funded	40	44	41	35	35
Number of drug arrests made by task forces	6,371	8,048	7,942	8,094	6,859
Number of street sales disruption grants funded	15	20	27	31	31
Number of drug arrests made by street sales projects	1,314	1,073	3,532	2,261	1,753
Violence Against Women Grant Program:					
Number of women served by grants	Not applicable ⁵	3,920 ⁵	15,648	25,779 ²	35,855 ²
Crime Victims Assistance Grant Program:					
Number of victims served by grants	31,165	34,000	29,942	43,065 ³	52,710 ²
Juvenile Justice and Delinquency Prevention Program: ²					
Number of juveniles served by grant	2,600	2,800	7,500	7,001	8,597
Juvenile Accountability Incentive Block Grant Program ²					
Number of juveniles served by grant	Not applicable ⁵	Not applicable	Not applicable	Not applicable	17,477
Federal Formula Discretionary Grant Funds: ^{3,4}					
Number of discretionary grants received	2	2	3	4	3 ⁴
Dollar amount of discretionary grants received	\$1,350,000	\$381,917	\$699,023	\$1,372,293	\$1,072,669

¹ The data shows results from two types of grant projects: multijurisdictional task forces and street sales disruption efforts. Other projects funded include training, community policing, apprehension efforts, court delay reduction, major drug offender prosecution, intensive supervision, intensive incarceration, drug treatment, witness assistance, forensic lab enhancement, etc.

² This indicator is for State Fiscal Year (July 1 - June 30).

³ This indicator is for Federal Fiscal Year (October 1 - September 30).

⁴ The LCLE applies for discretionary funding to meet state criminal justice system priorities that are not otherwise funded or only partially funded. Discretionary grants from the U.S. Department of Justice are typically competitive in nature and are designed to support developmental initiatives that the U.S. Congress targeted. This means that fundable areas and the amount of funding available for any given area will change from year to year, based on appropriations by Congress and modifications to guidance provided by the U.S. Department of Justice pursuant to specific authorizing legislation for the various discretionary grant programs. Please note that two grant programs were combined into one during this reporting period, thus the number of grants reduced from 4 to 3.

⁵ Awards were not made under VAWA until late FY 1996-1997. During the startup phase beginning in FY 1995-1996 and continuing until mid FY 1996-1997 the focus of the program was on training. Awards were not made under the JAIBG until the end of FY 1998-1999.

2. (KEY) To balance the use of Residential Substance Abuse Treatment (RSAT) funds between state and local correctional institutions by ensuring at least one program funded in any federal fiscal year is local institution-based and one is state institution-based.

Strategic Link: This operational objective relates to Strategic Objective 1.1 -1.7: *To pass through the above grant programs in accordance with their minimum pass-through requirements.* It is also related to Strategic Objective 1.8: *To balance the use of Residential Substance Abuse (RSAT) funds between state and local correctional institutions by insuring at least one program funded in any federal fiscal year is local institution-based and one is state institution-based.*

Louisiana: Vision 2020 Link: This operational objective relates to Vision 2020 Objective 3.3: *To have safe homes, schools, and streets throughout the state.*

Children's Cabinet Link: Not applicable

Other Link(s): Not applicable

Explanatory Note: RSAT subgrant funds are used for state inmates with a history of substance abuse involvement. This treatment occurs just prior to release. The cost per inmate is lower in local facilities due to the reduced need for new equipment and supplies to in the continuation program. The cost per inmate in state facilities is higher than the cost per inmate in local facilities because state facilities provide services that locals do not, and because more support staff are required to sustain the enhanced RSAT programs in operation in state facilities. The cost per inmate is calculated by dividing the amount of the program's budget by the number of RSAT inmates participating in the program.

L E V E L	PERFORMANCE INDICATOR NAME	PERFORMANCE INDICATOR VALUES					
		YEAREND PERFORMANCE STANDARD FY 1999-2000	ACTUAL YEAREND PERFORMANCE FY 1999-2000	ACT 11 PERFORMANCE STANDARD FY 2000-2001	EXISTING PERFORMANCE STANDARD FY 2000-2001	AT CONTINUATION BUDGET LEVEL FY 2001-2002	AT RECOMMENDED BUDGET LEVEL FY 2001-2002
K	Minimum percentage of RSAT funds passed through for the treatment of state adult and juvenile inmates ¹	75%	97.25%	75%	75%	75%	75%
K	Number of RSAT grants awarded. ²	2	2	2	2	2	2
S	Dollar amount of RSAT grants awarded ²	\$1,422,225	\$1,432,316	\$1,422,225	\$1,422,225	\$1,482,563	\$1,482,563
S	Amount of funding received for RSAT subgrants for direct treatment programs	\$1,341,707	\$1,341,707	\$1,432,316	\$1,432,316	\$1,432,316	\$1,432,316
K	Number of residential substance abuse treatment programs established by RSAT in local facilities	1	1	1	1	1	1
S	Cost per inmate in local facilities	\$6,416	\$6,309	\$6,384	\$6,384	\$5,842	\$5,842

K	Number of residential substance abuse treatment programs established by RSAT in state facilities	4	4	4	4	5	5
S	Cost per inmate in state facilities	\$7,790	\$7,790	\$7,790	\$7,790	\$7,790	\$7,790

¹ The remainder of the funds is used for a planning grant by LCLE.

² Figures reflect activity during the state fiscal year.

3. (KEY) To increase the percentage of eligible criminal justice agencies participating and/or having access to one or more of the major components of the Integrated Criminal Justice Information System (ICJIS) to 65%.

Strategic Link: This operational objective partially accomplishes the program's Strategic Objective II.2: *To develop the major components of the ICJIS by June 30, 2003.*

Louisiana: Vision 2020 Link: This operational objective relates to Vision 2020 Objective 3.3: *To have safe homes, schools, and streets throughout the state.*

Children's Cabinet Link: Not applicable

Other Link(s): Not applicable

Explanatory Note: The seventh and last major component of the Integrated Criminal Justice Information System (ICJIS) will be operational in FY 2000-2001.

L E V E L	PERFORMANCE INDICATOR NAME	PERFORMANCE INDICATOR VALUES					
		YEAREND PERFORMANCE STANDARD FY 1999-2000	ACTUAL YEAREND PERFORMANCE FY 1999-2000	ACT 11 PERFORMANCE STANDARD FY 2000-2001	EXISTING PERFORMANCE STANDARD FY 2000-2001	AT CONTINUATION BUDGET LEVEL FY 2001-2002	AT RECOMMENDED BUDGET LEVEL FY 2001-2002
K	Percentage of eligible criminal justice agencies participating in ICJIS	60%	61.02%	65%	65%	65%	65%
S	Percentage of eligible criminal justice agencies with access to one or more ICJIS components	60%	91.2%	75%	75%	95%	95%

4. (KEY) To increase the number of eligible local law enforcement agencies that have completed Louisiana Incident-Based Crime Reporting System (LIBRS) certification to 19.

Strategic Link: This operational objective partially accomplishes the program's Strategic Objective II.4: *To increase the number of eligible local law enforcement agencies which have complete Louisiana Incident Based Crime Reporting (LIBRS) certification to 100 by June 30, 2003.*

Louisiana: Vision 2020 Link: This operational objective is related to Vision 2020 Objective 3.3: *To have safe homes, schools, and streets throughout the state.* It is also related to Benchmark 3.3.1, Index Crime Rates. Achievement of this operational objective will enable more accurate reporting of index crime information.

Children's Cabinet Link: Not applicable

Other Link(s): Not applicable

Explanatory Note: Louisiana Law Enforcement Management Information Network (La-LEMIS) is a law enforcement management information system developed for use by local law enforcement agencies. Its primary function is to automate law enforcement records at the local agency level and produce reports which benefits identification, investigation of crime, management and both the operational and strategic levels, and to provide a means for the local agency to participate in the major state systems. LIBRS is a state level system which gathers information on crime incidents and arrests, as well as a large amount of information related to these crimes (i.e. victim-offender relationship, drug or firearm involvement, bins or gambling motivation, property stolen or recovered, circumstances of the offense, etc). La-LEMIS enables local agencies to make timely and accurate reports to LIBRS, while, at the same time, improving records and information management at the local level. Eligible agencies are those which investigate crimes and make arrests for reportable offenses which are not covered in another agency's report.

This is an issue that affects small agencies. In many jurisdictions, a small agency may receive the initial complaint, and then refer it to the sheriff's office for investigation and arrest. The LIBRS system allows for this possibility by permitting the smaller agency to report through the larger agency.

Indicators related to Uniform Crime Reporting (UCR) and LIBRS reporting reflect the overall level of crime reporting in the state, since both major types of reporting are included. These indicators have been used during the period in which the state system is in transition from summary UCR to LIBRS. Currently, LIBRS data is converted to the National Incident-Based Reporting System (NIBRS), the national level crime reporting system on which LIBRS is based. NIBRS is converted by the Federal Bureau of Investigation to UCR format, and added to regular UCR numbers. LIBRS is currently in transition between Versions 1.1 and 2.0. Such a transition occurs as law changes and new requirements are added by the U.S. Congress, the Louisiana Legislature, or the Federal Bureau of Investigation.

L E V E L	PERFORMANCE INDICATOR NAME	PERFORMANCE INDICATOR VALUES					
		YEAREND PERFORMANCE STANDARD FY 1999-2000	ACTUAL YEAREND PERFORMANCE FY 1999-2000	ACT 11 PERFORMANCE STANDARD FY 2000-2001	EXISTING PERFORMANCE STANDARD FY 2000-2001	AT CONTINUATION BUDGET LEVEL FY 2001-2002	AT RECOMMENDED BUDGET LEVEL FY 2001-2002
K	Number of agencies reporting crime data ¹	177	210	200	200	200	200
K	Number of agencies completing LIBRS certification	12	0 ²	17	17	19	19

S	Number of agencies participating in LIBRS certification	25	11	30	30	15 ²	15
S	Number of agencies using La -LEMIS software	75	68	80	80	85	85
S	Percentage of the state population covered by LIBRS reporting ³	20%	26.7%	22%	22%	25%	25%
S	Percentage of eligible law enforcement agencies reporting to the UCR ¹	49%	54.8%	49%	49%	50%	50%
S	Percentage of population covered by agencies reporting under UCR ¹	93%	93.5%	93%	93%	93%	93%

¹ Data obtained from these sources provides the index crime rate reported in *Louisiana: Vision 2020* action plans.

² LIBRS transferred data to a new computer system which required additional testing and verification. Through this process additional LIBRS programming modifications were found and changes are being made. Also hindering the program, was the delay in the dissemination of LEMIS 2000.

³ There are approximately 380 law enforcement agencies in Louisiana. Two hundred of those agencies provide statistics for 93% of Louisiana's population. Many of the remaining agencies are very small departments which, under most circumstances, have their serious cases handled by the larger local agencies. In order to provide a gauge of the use of LIBRS data, percentage of population covered is a more accurate account than number of agencies reporting.

RESOURCE ALLOCATION FOR THE PROGRAM

	ACTUAL 1999- 2000	ACT 11 2000 - 2001	EXISTING 2000 - 2001	CONTINUATION 2001 - 2002	RECOMMENDED 2001 - 2002	RECOMMENDED OVER/(UNDER) EXISTING
MEANS OF FINANCING:						
STATE GENERAL FUND (Direct)	\$730,120	\$732,120	\$732,120	\$732,120	\$729,834	(\$2,286)
STATE GENERAL FUND BY:						
Interagency Transfers	0	0	0	0	0	0
Fees & Self-gen. Revenues	0	0	0	0	0	0
Statutory Dedications	0	0	0	0	0	0
Interim Emergency Board	0	0	0	0	0	0
FEDERAL FUNDS	21,838,983	25,605,199	25,605,199	26,216,090	26,194,110	588,911
TOTAL MEANS OF FINANCING	<u>\$22,569,103</u>	<u>\$26,337,319</u>	<u>\$26,337,319</u>	<u>\$26,948,210</u>	<u>\$26,923,944</u>	<u>\$586,625</u>
EXPENDITURES & REQUEST:						
Salaries	\$606,236	\$486,831	\$486,831	\$497,332	\$520,300	\$33,469
Other Compensation	35,189	12,390	12,390	12,390	12,390	0
Related Benefits	99,479	104,050	104,050	106,043	108,966	4,916
Total Operating Expenses	98,129	106,506	106,506	107,903	119,558	13,052
Professional Services	14,932	0	0	0	0	0
Total Other Charges	21,697,843	25,627,542	25,627,542	26,224,542	26,162,730	535,188
Total Acq. & Major Repairs	17,295	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL EXPENDITURES AND REQUEST	<u>\$22,569,103</u>	<u>\$26,337,319</u>	<u>\$26,337,319</u>	<u>\$26,948,210</u>	<u>\$26,923,944</u>	<u>\$586,625</u>
AUTHORIZED FULL-TIME EQUIVALENTS: Classified	19	18	18	18	16	(2)
Unclassified	0	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL	<u>19</u>	<u>18</u>	<u>18</u>	<u>18</u>	<u>16</u>	<u>(2)</u>

SOURCE OF FUNDING

This program is funded with State General Fund and Federal Funds. Federal Funds are derived from the Juvenile Justice Delinquency Prevention Act, Drug Control and System Improvement Formula Grant Program, the Omnibus Control and Safe Streets Act of 1968 as amended, and the Bureau of Justice Statistics and Justice Assistance Sections.

ANALYSIS OF RECOMMENDATION

GENERAL FUND	TOTAL	T.O.	DESCRIPTION
\$732,120	\$26,337,319	18	ACT 11 FISCAL YEAR 2000-2001
			BA-7 TRANSACTIONS:
\$0	\$0	0	None
\$732,120	\$26,337,319	18	EXISTING OPERATING BUDGET – December 15, 2000
\$0	\$6,660	0	Annualization of FY 2000-2001 Classified State Employees Merit Increase
\$0	\$5,834	0	Classified State Employees Merit Increases for FY 2001 -2002
\$0	\$13,052	0	Risk Management Adjustment
\$0	\$102,348	0	Salary Base Adjustment
\$0	(\$41,518)	(1)	Attrition Adjustment
(\$2,286)	(\$34,939)	(1)	Personnel Reductions
\$0	(\$61,812)	0	Salary Funding from Other Line Items
\$0	\$97,000	0	Other Adjustments - Increase in the Crime Victims Compensation Federal Grant
\$0	\$500,000	0	Other Adjustments - Increase in the Crime Victims Assistance Federal Grant
\$729,834	\$26,923,944	16	GRAND TOTAL RECOMMENDED

The total means of financing for this program is recommended at 102.2 of the existing operating budget. It represents 99.7% of the total request (\$27,000,477) for this program. The major changes from total recommended to existing operating budget are due to an increase in the Crime Victims Assistance Federal Grant and the Crime Victims Compensation Federal Grant.

PROFESSIONAL SERVICES

This program does not have funding for Professional Services for Fiscal Year 2001-2002

OTHER CHARGES

\$1,463,792	Juvenile Justice and Delinquent Prevention Act for aid to local criminal justice agencies (JJDP)
\$5,706,981	Drug Control and Improvement Formula Grant for aid to local criminal justice agencies to combat the drug problem through apprehension, prosecution and adjudication of drug offenders (DRUG)
\$4,941,500	Federal Crime Victims Assistance Program for aid to local criminal justice agencies assisting the victims of a crime (CVA)
\$300,000	Federal Crime Victims Compensation Assistance Program (CVC)
\$18,151	Statistical Analysis Clearinghouse for disseminating criminal justice statistics to local criminal justice agencies (SAC)
\$600,000	Title V funds for prevention and education on juvenile delinquency and programs to improve the Juvenile Justice System (TITLE V)
\$2,434,000	Federal Funds to assist governmental entities to develop and strengthen prosecution strategies to combat violent crimes against women (VAW)
\$1,300,000	Federal Funds to improve the state's criminal history records system and participate in the National Instant Criminal Background check system (NCHIP)
\$400,000	Uniform Crime Reporting Program - Aid to local governments for developing an incident and arrest reporting system statewide
\$4,025,388	Federal Funds from the Juvenile Accountability for Juvenile Offenders and Public Protection Incentive Grant
\$112,120	Prison Capacity Program for aid to local criminal justice agencies for providing local criminal justice statistical and programmatic information
\$110,900	Federal Funds for states to develop, adopt, and improve policies and programs in specified challenge areas as part of the JJDP (CHALLENGE)
\$1,422,225	Byrne Memorial Block federal funds to provide financial assistance to state governments for improvement to criminal history records system, Criminal Justice System, and anti-drug efforts (RSAT)
\$22,835,057	SUB-TOTAL OTHER CHARGES
	Interagency Transfers:
\$80,000	Department of Justice for the Career Drug Program
\$3,247,673	Federal grants to the Department of Public Safety and Corrections, Corrections Services, and the Office of State Police for drug control subgrants, Residential Substance Abuse Treatment for prisoners, and National Criminal History Improvement Program
\$3,327,673	SUB-TOTAL INTERAGENCY TRANSFERS
\$26,162,730	TOTAL OTHER CHARGES

ACQUISITIONS AND MAJOR REPAIRS

This program does not have funding for Acquisitions and Major Repairs for Fiscal Year 2001 -2002